

# Kluwer Arbitration Blog (<https://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com/>)



(<http://www.wolterskluwer.com>)

(<https://www.facebook.com/wolterskluwer>) ([https://x.com/wolters\\_kluwer](https://x.com/wolters_kluwer))

(<https://www.linkedin.com/company/wolters-kluwer>)

(<https://www.youtube.com/user/WoltersKluwerComms>)

BIT (<https://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com/category/bit/>), INDIA (<https://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com/category/india/>), INVESTMENT ARBITRATION (<https://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com/category/investment-arbitration/>), INVESTMENT DISPUTES (<https://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com/category/investment-disputes/>)

## India ADR Week 2023 Spotlight: Investor-State Disputes (<https://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com/2024/02/08/india-adr-week-2023-spotlight-investor-state-disputes/>)

Ritika Bansal (<https://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com/author/ritika-bansal/>) (Nishith Desai Associates) and Matthew Brown (<https://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com/author/matthew-brown/>) (Clifford Chance Asia) / February 8, 2024 (<https://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com/2024/02/08/india-adr-week-2023-spotlight-investor-state-disputes/>) / Leave a comment (<https://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com/2024/02/08/india-adr-week-2023-spotlight-investor-state-disputes/#respond>)

**India ADR Week** (<https://www.adrweek.in/>) (“IAW”) 2023 was hosted by the **Mumbai Centre for International Arbitration** (<https://mcia.org.in/>) (“MCIA”) across three cities – Bengaluru, Delhi and Mumbai. Gathering over 700 attendees from a cross-section of the arbitration community, IAW featured more than 40 events over six days in a series of in-person and virtual panels. The breadth and diversity of the event set the stage for engaging and insightful discussions on a wide array of topics. Following a stream of investment-state disputes against India, issues around disputes involving state parties and investor-state disputes were the theme of several panel discussions at IAW.

In the **2020 Queen Mary Survey on Investment Arbitration** (<https://arbitration.qmul.ac.uk/media/arbitration/docs/QM-CCIAG-Survey-ISDS-2020.pdf>), 81% of survey respondents expressed positive views of contract-based arbitration, and 72% expressed positive views of treaty-based arbitration to resolve investment disputes with states. There was a marked preference for arbitration (whether contractual or treaty-based) over other dispute resolution mechanisms such as mediation, direct negotiation, government intervention, and litigation in the host state’s courts.

Yet, in India, foreign investors face escalating challenges in dispute resolution with both the Indian state and state-owned entities. This trend is driven by two key factors: (i) India’s termination of its bilateral investment treaties (“BITs”), and (ii) difficulties which investors have faced enforcing investment arbitration awards in India. Cases like *Vodafone v. Union of India*<sup>1</sup>, under the India-Netherlands BIT, and *Union of India v. Khaitan Holdings (Mauritius) Ltd & Ors*<sup>2</sup> 2019 SCC OnLine Del 6755, paras 35-36, under the India-Mauritius BIT, exemplify this stance, with the Delhi High Court ruling that investment treaty awards are not governed by the Indian Arbitration and Conciliation Act 1996 (“**Indian Arbitration Act**”) owing to India’s commercial reservation to the **1958 Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards** (<https://www.newyorkconvention.org/english>) (“**New York Convention**”). Meanwhile, the Calcutta High Court asserted that the Indian Arbitration Act does apply to investment arbitration awards<sup>3</sup>. The split between the two high courts creates uncertainty for investors seeking to enforce their investment arbitration awards in India. Since India is not a signatory to the **Convention on the Settlement of Investment Disputes between States and Nationals of Other States** (<https://icsid.worldbank.org/sites/default/files/ICSID%20Convention%20English.pdf>) (“**ICSID Convention**”), if the position of the Indian courts is that the Indian Arbitration Act does not apply to investment arbitration awards, then foreign investors may not have any clear path for enforcing a treaty-based award in India.

### During IAW

The panel on “*Enforcement of Investor State Awards Against Indian-State*” delved into the complexities of enforcing investor-State awards in India. The discussion featured insights from Senior Advocate **Zal Andhyarujina** (<https://www.fountaincourt.co.uk/profile/zal-andhyarujina-sa/>), Mr. **Arvindaran Manoosegaran** (<https://www.linkedin.com/in/arvindran-manoosegaran-74870744/?originalSubdomain=sg>) (Omnibridgeway), and Ms. **Shreya Jain** (<https://www.linkedin.com/in/shreya-jain-36a63984/>) (Shardul Amarchand Mangaldas). The panelists examined how these challenges may strain India’s relationship with foreign investors and potentially hamper future investment.

Ms. Jain shed light on the ticking clock for investments protected under India's terminated BITs, noting that the survival or sunset clauses (i.e. clauses that protect all investments made prior to a termination of an investment treaty for a certain period of time after the termination) in those treaties will expire in the next 5-7 years, making it even more challenging to bring claims against the Indian state or state-owned entities. Mr. Andhyrujina urged India to take cues from other countries' enforcement practices and floated the idea of India becoming a signatory to the ICSID Convention. Mr. Manoosegaran highlighted the real-world implications of weak enforcement policy but explained that those holding awards against India may also seek to target Indian assets overseas. He also revealed that enforcement difficulties are particularly concerning for third-party funders, steering them towards funding smaller awards rather than larger, more high-profile disputes with an overtly political angle.

In the panel on "*Unique Challenges of Disputes Involving States and State Parties*", panelists Ms. [Sheila Ahuja](https://www.allenoverly.com/en-gb/global/people/Sheila-Ahuja) (<https://www.allenoverly.com/en-gb/global/people/Sheila-Ahuja>) (Allen & Overy), Ms. [Lucia Raimanova](https://www.allenoverly.com/en-gb/global/people/Lucia-Raimanova) (<https://www.allenoverly.com/en-gb/global/people/Lucia-Raimanova>) (Allen & Overy), [Salim Moollan KC](https://www.brickcourt.co.uk/our-people/profile/salim-moollan-kc) (<https://www.brickcourt.co.uk/our-people/profile/salim-moollan-kc>) (Brick Court Chambers), Ms. [Zarina Chinoy](https://www.linkedin.com/in/zarinapundole/?originalSubdomain=in) (<https://www.linkedin.com/in/zarinapundole/?originalSubdomain=in>) (Shapoorji Pallonji) and Mr. [Tarun Bhatia](https://www.kroll.com/en/our-team/tarun-bhatia) (<https://www.kroll.com/en/our-team/tarun-bhatia>) (Kroll) considered the practical challenges in resolving disputes with states and state-owned parties. Mr. Moollan and Ms. Chinoy addressed the well-known bureaucratic constraints affecting state officials, including complexities of multi-level decision-making and limited familiarity with ADR mechanisms. They noted that these can slow the decision-making process and make officials hesitant to consider dispute resolution methods outside of litigation in their own national courts. Mr. Moollan also suggested that the readiness of State officials to engage in arbitration varies by industry and recommended improved information-sharing among different governmental departments, citing Argentina as a case in point. Measures taken by Argentina to improve its ISDS framework have been previously discussed [here](https://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com/2016/10/13/is-argentina-looking-for-reconciliation-with-isds/) (<https://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com/2016/10/13/is-argentina-looking-for-reconciliation-with-isds/>).

Mr. Bhatia discussed the role which sanctions and state immunity play in the enforcement stage of arbitration awards. He indicated that the Indian state is becoming more aware of the potential exposure associated with award enforcement, including global asset searches and attachments. Ms. Raimanova highlighted the distinctions between commercial and investment arbitration when dealing with state-owned entities. She argued that commercial arbitration may be more favorable for investors because it is less politically sensitive, faces fewer jurisdictional objections, and is often easier to settle. She also noted that correspondence with state officials can sometimes help in resolving disputes, especially when these officials are not aware of their obligations under the BITs and are interested in preserving the investment climate of the country.

The panel concluded by agreeing on the importance of consulting legal and quantum experts as early as possible in the dispute resolution process. This early engagement, they suggested, allows for strategies informed by a range of professional perspectives.

Taking the discussion forward, the panel on "*Resolving disputes with Government and State-owned entities through Arbitration: Best Practices & Effective Strategies*", comprising of Ms. [Gathi Prakash Karrah](https://www.cyrilshroff.com/people/gathi-prakash-karrah/) (<https://www.cyrilshroff.com/people/gathi-prakash-karrah/>) (Cyril Amarchand Mangaldas), Mr. [Iyer Narayanan H](https://www.linkedin.com/in/narayanan-iyer-55a05914/?originalSubdomain=in) (<https://www.linkedin.com/in/narayanan-iyer-55a05914/?originalSubdomain=in>) (Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited), Mr. [Oswald Dsouza](https://www.linkedin.com/in/oswald-dsouza-5963701b/?originalSubdomain=in) (<https://www.linkedin.com/in/oswald-dsouza-5963701b/?originalSubdomain=in>) (L&T), Ms. [Bindi Dave](https://www.linkedin.com/in/bindi-dave-8086a816/?originalSubdomain=in) (<https://www.linkedin.com/in/bindi-dave-8086a816/?originalSubdomain=in>) (Wadia, Gandhi & Co.), Mr. [Gaurav Gulati](https://www.accuracy.com/team-je/gaurav-gulati/) (<https://www.accuracy.com/team-je/gaurav-gulati/>) (Accuracy) and Ms. [Zarina Chinoy](https://www.linkedin.com/in/zarinapundole/?originalSubdomain=in) (<https://www.linkedin.com/in/zarinapundole/?originalSubdomain=in>) (Shapoorji Pallonji) deliberated upon the various means through which disputes with the Indian state may be resolved. Ms. Dave and Mr. Dsouza highlighted that delays before commercial courts result in continued preference for arbitration as a means of resolving disputes with the Indian state. Ms. Dave further noted a gradual shift within the Indian state towards institutional arbitration. Illustrating this point, she referenced requiring all future government contracts over INR 50 million (i.e. approximately USD 600,000) to include institutional arbitration clauses which provide for arbitration under the MCI Rules.

Shifting gears, Ms. Chinoy discussed the challenges of mediating disputes with states and state-owned entities, given their fact and evidence-intensive nature. She praised the new Indian [Mediation Act, 2023](https://legalaffairs.gov.in/sites/default/files/MediationAct2023.pdf) (<https://legalaffairs.gov.in/sites/default/files/MediationAct2023.pdf>) ("**Mediation Act**"), which includes state and state-owned entities, but stated that structural changes are necessary before mediation becomes an effective alternative for state-involved disputes.

Mr. Narayanan H then shed light on public sector companies' generally favourable stance towards honouring arbitral awards when they deem the underlying claims legitimate. He brought attention to the role of the Vivad se Vishwas schemes, the government-introduced initiatives designed to encourage settlements by offering reduced payouts under specified conditions. According to these schemes, awards against the Indian state or its entities could be settled for 85% of the court-awarded amount, and 65% of the arbitral tribunal-awarded sums. Mr. Dsouza criticised this 65% settlement figure for sums awarded by the arbitral tribunal as being arbitrary and failing to account for the extensive time and resources that parties invest in the arbitration process before an award is secured.

Lastly, Mr. Gulati outlined various avenues for enhancing the arbitration process with the state. His recommendations included the appointment of subject-matter experts to the tribunal, streamlining document management processes, and establishing clear procedural guidelines and timelines.

## Conclusion and Insights

The dialogues during IAW shed light on the nuanced complexities that characterise investor-state dispute resolution in India, covering a diverse range of topics from legal frameworks and judicial perspectives to actionable dispute resolution strategies. Noteworthy insights and recommendations emerging from these discussions include:

- A clear framework for the enforcement of investment treaty awards in India would significantly bolster investor trust by mitigating the prevailing uncertainty caused by conflicting judgments from the Delhi and Calcutta High Courts. This could be done through new legislation, or a definitive ruling by the Indian Supreme Court.
- The question remains whether India should consider becoming a signatory to the ICSID Convention. The protections outlined in the Indian Model BIT could potentially address India's reservations about the alleged investor-centric bias in the ICSID framework. However, given that India has terminated most of its BITs, this move would be meaningful only if India re-engages in BITs.
- Mediation needs more robust support as a dispute resolution mechanism for dealings with the Indian state and state-owned entities. Possible pathways could involve ratifying the **United Nations Convention on International Settlement Agreements Resulting from Mediation** (<https://www.singaporeconvention.org/convention/text>) (the "Singapore Convention on Mediation"), incorporating mediation clauses in future BITs, and explicitly including investment disputes within the scope of the Mediation Act. While Section 2 of the Mediation Act, read with Section 2(1)(c) of the **Indian Commercial Courts Act, 2015** (<https://iddashboard.legislative.gov.in/actsofparliamentfromtheyear/commercial-courts-act-2015>), covers commercial disputes of state and state-owned entities, a clarification that investment disputes are covered within this ambit may remove existing ambiguities, especially owing to the Delhi High Court rulings that do not categorise investment disputes as "commercial".
- A concerted effort to share information across various governmental departments regarding best practices in investor dispute resolution could be beneficial. A multi-departmental approach can enhance efficiency and ensure that consistent strategies are being employed in dispute resolution.

---

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Arbitration Blog, please subscribe [here](https://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com/newsletter/) (<https://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com/newsletter/>). To submit a proposal for a blog post, please consult our [Editorial Guidelines](https://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com/editorial-policy-guidelines/) (<https://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com/editorial-policy-guidelines/>).

### Profile Navigator and Relationship Indicator

Access 17,000+ data-driven profiles of arbitrators, expert witnesses, and counsels, derived from Kluwer Arbitration's comprehensive collection of international cases and awards and appointment data of leading arbitral institutions, to uncover potential conflicts of interest.

Learn how Kluwer Arbitration can support you. ([https://www.wolterskluwer.com/en/solutions/kluwerarbitration/practical-tools?utm\\_source=arbitrationblog&utm\\_medium=banner&utm\\_campaign=article-bottom-cta\\_ka-practical-tools\\_1122#PrReTools](https://www.wolterskluwer.com/en/solutions/kluwerarbitration/practical-tools?utm_source=arbitrationblog&utm_medium=banner&utm_campaign=article-bottom-cta_ka-practical-tools_1122#PrReTools))

Newly updated

# Profile Navigator and Relationship Indicator Tools



Request your free trial now →

([https://www.wolterskluwer.com/en/solutions/kluwerarbitration/practical-tools?utm\\_source=arbitrationblog&utm\\_medium=banner&utm\\_campaign=article-bottom\\_ka-practical-tools\\_1122#PrReTools](https://www.wolterskluwer.com/en/solutions/kluwerarbitration/practical-tools?utm_source=arbitrationblog&utm_medium=banner&utm_campaign=article-bottom_ka-practical-tools_1122#PrReTools))



COMMENT

NAME \*

EMAIL \*

WEBSITE

SAVE MY NAME, EMAIL, AND WEBSITE IN THIS BROWSER FOR THE NEXT TIME I COMMENT.

POST COMMENT

This site uses Akismet to reduce spam. [Learn how your comment data is processed \(https://akismet.com/privacy/\)](https://akismet.com/privacy/).

GET BLOG POSTS IN YOUR INBOX!

Email

SUBSCRIBE

BROWSE CATEGORIES

|                      |                  |                     |                   |              |
|----------------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--------------|
| by Jurisdiction... ▼ | by Category... ▼ | by Contributor... ▼ | by Affiliate... ▼ | by Date... ▼ |
|----------------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--------------|

---

# SCCA 3rd International Conference and Exhibition


To discuss the  
ADR outlook in  
KSA & around  
the World

Riyadh - March 6, 2024

Register Now →




([https://ridw.org/event/scca-3rd-international-conference-and-exhibition?utm\\_source=arbitrationblog&utm\\_medium=banner&utm\\_campaign=side-sec\\_ridw\\_0124](https://ridw.org/event/scca-3rd-international-conference-and-exhibition?utm_source=arbitrationblog&utm_medium=banner&utm_campaign=side-sec_ridw_0124))



**Dealing with Bribery and Corruption in International Commercial Arbitration: To Probe or Not to Probe**  
(<https://law-store.wolterskluwer.com/s/product/dealing-with-bribery-and-corruption-in-international-commercial-arbitration/01t4R00000P3bfnQAB>)

Emmanuel Obiora Igbokwe  
€ 190



**Arbitration in Egypt: A Practitioner's Guide** (<https://rus.wolterskluwer.com/store/product/arbitration-in-egypt-a-practitioner-s-guide/>)

Ibrahim Shehata  
€ 190

## EDITORS & CONTRIBUTORS

Roger Alford (General Editor) (<http://law.nd.edu/people/faculty-and-administration/teaching-and-research-faculty/roger-p-alford/>)  
Notre Dame Law School (<http://law.nd.edu/>)



(<https://arbitraljournal.com/people/roger-alford/>)

Crina Baltag (Managing Editor) (<https://www.su.se/english/profiles/crba2922-1.478903>)  
Stockholm University (<https://www.jurinst.su.se/english/>)



(<https://arbitraljournal.com/people/crina-baltag/>)

Maria Fanou (Associate Editor)



(<https://arbitraljournal.com/people/maria-fanou/>)

Kiran Nasir Gore (Associate Editor) (<https://www.law.gwu.edu/kiran-n-gore>)  
Law Offices of Kiran N Gore PLLC; The George Washington University Law School (<https://www.law.gwu.edu/kiran-n-gore>)



(<https://arbitraljournal.com/people/kiran-nasir-gore/>)

Khushboo Shahdadpuri (Associate Editor)  
Al Tamimi & Company (<https://www.tamimi.com/find-a-lawyer/khushboo-shahdadpuri/>)



(<https://arbitraljournal.com/people/khushboo-shahdadpuri/>)

Anne Wang (Associate Editor)



(<https://arbitraljournal.com/people/anne-wang/>)

Hiroko Yamamoto (Associate Editor)



(<https://arbitraljournal.com/people/hiroko-yamamoto/>)

Daniela Páez-Salgado (Senior Assistant Editor) (<http://www.herbertsmithfreehills.com/people/daniela-paez>)  
Herbert Smith Freehills (<http://www.herbertsmithfreehills.com>)



(<https://arbitraljournal.com/people/daniela-paez/>)

Dina Prokic (Senior Assistant Editor)  
Woods LLP (<http://www.woods.qc.ca/team/dina-prokic>)



(<https://arbitraljournal.com/people/dina-prokic/>)

Raoul J. Renard (Senior Assistant Editor)  
(<https://www.linkedin.com/in/raoulrenard>)



(<https://arbitraljournal.com/people/raoul-j-renard/>)

Sue Ng (Assistant Editor to the Managing Editor) (<https://www.freshfields.us/contacts/find-a-lawyer/n/ng-sue/>)  
Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP (<https://www.freshfields.com/en-gb/contacts/find-a-lawyer/n/ng-sue/>)



(<https://arbitraljournal.com/people/sue-ng/>)

Celine Yan Wang (Assistant Editor to the Managing Editor)



(<https://arbitraljournal.com/people/celine-yan-wang/>)

Filippo Zuti Giachetti (Assistant Editor to the Managing Editor)  
MDisputes (<https://www.mdspites.com/>)



(<https://arbitraljournal.com/people/filippo-zuti-giachetti/>)

Maria José Alarcon (Assistant Editor for Investment Arbitration)



(<https://arbitraljournal.com/people/maria-jose-alarcon/>)

Ajoo Kim (Assistant Editor for Investment Arbitration)



(<https://arbitraljournal.com/people/ajoo-kim/>)

Alexia Gkoritsa (Assistant Editor for Technology)  
WIPO Arbitration and Mediation Center (<https://www.wipo.int/amc/en/>)



(<https://arbitrator.wipo.int/alexia-gkoritsa/>)

David Isidore Tan (Assistant Editor for Technology) (<https://sg.linkedin.com/in/david-isidore-tan-789527143>)  
Rajah & Tann Asia



(<https://arbitrator.wipo.int/david-isidore-tan/>)

Bethel Kassa (Assistant Editor for Africa)  
Morgan and Morgan



(<https://arbitrator.wipo.int/bethel-kassa/>)

Ibukunoluwa Owa (Assistant Editor for Africa)  
International Arbitration Lawyer (<https://www.linkedin.com/in/ibukunoluwa-owa-8907825a/>)



(<https://arbitrator.wipo.int/ibukunoluwa-owa/>)

Titilope Sinmi-Adetona (Assistant Editor for Africa)  
Aluko & Oyebo (<https://www.linkedin.com/in/titilope-sinmi-adetona/>)



(<https://arbitrator.wipo.int/titilope-sinmi-adetona/>)

Hannepes Taychayev (Assistant Editor for East and Central Asia)



(<https://arbitrator.wipo.int/hannepes-taychayev/>)

Youlin Yuan (Assistant Editor for East and Central Asia)  
Williams & Connolly LLP (<https://www.wc.com/Attorneys/Youlin-Yuan>)



(<https://arbitrator.wipo.int/youlin-yuan/>)

Yue Zhao (Assistant Editor for East and Central Asia)



(<https://arbitrator.wipo.int/yue-zhao/>)

Angela Ray T. Abala (Assistant Editor for Southeast Asia)  
Singapore International Dispute Resolution Academy (<https://sidra.smu.edu.sg/>)



(<https://arbitrator.wipo.int/angela-ray-t-abala/>)

Yi-Jun Kang (Assistant Editor for Southeast Asia) (<https://www.mofocom.com/>)  
Morrison & Foerster (Singapore) LLP (<https://www.mofocom/people/yi-jun-kang>)



(<https://arbitrator.wipo.int/yi-jun-kang/>)

Samuel Koh (Assistant Editor for Southeast Asia)  
Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP (<https://www.wilmerhale.com/en/people/samuel-koh>)



(<https://arbitrator.wipo.int/samuel-koh/>)

Deborah Loh (Assistant Editor for Southeast Asia)  
Clifford Chance Asia



(<https://arbitrator.wipo.int/deborah-loh/>)

Shreya Jain (Assistant Editor for South Asia)  
Shardul Amarchand Mangaldas



(<https://arbitrator.wipo.int/shreya-jain/>)

Piyush Prasad (Assistant Editor for South Asia)



(<https://arbitrator.wipo.int/piyush-prasad/>)

Ashutosh Ray (Assistant Editor for South Asia)





(<https://arbitray/>)



(<https://arbitdurrani/>)



(<https://arbitcottin/>)



(<https://arbitprastalo-assistant-editor-for-europe/>)



(<https://arbitsendetska/>)



(<https://arbitlenier-ives/>)



(<https://arbitvon-mehren/>)



(<https://arbitfilipe-oliveira/>)



(<https://arbitzetina/>)



(<https://arbitgarrett/>)



(<https://arbitmacintosh/>)



(<https://arbitblanke/>)



(<https://arbitb-born/>)



(<https://arbitlavranos/>)

**Ahmed Durrani (Assistant Editor for Afghanistan, Iran and Pakistan)**  
Sultan Al-Abdulla & Partners (<https://www.qatarlaw.com/ahmed-durrani.html>)

**Antoine Cottin (Assistant Editor for Europe)**  
Laborde Law (<https://fr.laborde-law.com/>)

**Boris Praštalo (Assistant Editor for Europe)** (<https://www.linkedin.com/in/boris-prastalo-210071186>)  
Brunel University London (<https://www.brunel.ac.uk>)

**Olga Sendetska (Assistant Editor for Europe)**  
Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP (<https://www.linkedin.com/in/olgasendetska/>)

**Eric Lenier Ives (Assistant Editor for Canada and the United States)**  
(<https://www.linkedin.com/in/eric-lenier-ives-2b5b7763/>)

**Paige von Mehren (Assistant Editor for Canada and the United States)**  
Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer US LLP (<https://www.freshfields.com/en-gb/contacts/find-a-lawyer/v/von-mehren-paige/>)

**Aecio Filipe Oliveira (Assistant Editor for South and Central America)** (<https://www.bmalaw.com.br/en-US/advogado/aecio-filipe-coelho-fragade-oliveira>)  
Barbosa, Müssnich & Aragão Advogados – BMA ([https://www.linkedin.com/in/acio-filipe-o-342033a5/?locale=en\\_US](https://www.linkedin.com/in/acio-filipe-o-342033a5/?locale=en_US))

**Fabian Zetina (Assistant Editor for South and Central America)**  
BakerHostetler (<https://www.bakerlaw.com/>)

**Emma Garrett (Assistant Editor for Australia, New Zealand and the Pacific Islands)**

**Sam Macintosh (Assistant Editor for Australia, New Zealand and the Pacific Islands)**  
Wynn Williams (<https://www.wynnwilliams.co.nz/>)

**Gordon Blanke**  
Blanke Arbitration LLC (<http://blankearbitration.com/>)

**Gary B. Born** ([http://www.wilmerhale.com/gary\\_born/](http://www.wilmerhale.com/gary_born/))  
Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP (<http://www.wilmerhale.com/>)

**Nikos Lavranos**  
NL-Investmentconsulting (<https://www.nl-investmentconsulting.com/>)

Michael McIlwrath  
MDisputes (<https://www.mdisputes.com/>)



Mary Mitsi  
Queen Mary University of London (<http://www.qmul.ac.uk/>)



Matthias Scherer (<http://www.lalive.ch/e/lawyers/index.php?lawyer=163>)  
Editor in Chief, ASA Bulletin; LALIVE (<http://www.lalive.ch/>)



Maxi Scherer (<https://www.wilmerhale.com/en/people/maxi-scherer>)  
WilmerHale & Queen Mary University of London



Georg von Segesser  
von Segesser Law Offices (<http://www.vonsegesserlaw.com/>)



Wei Sun (<http://www.zhonglun.com>)  
Zhong Lun Law Firm (<http://www.zhonglun.com>)



Patricia Živković (<http://hu.linkedin.com/in/patriciazivkovic>)  
University of Aberdeen (<https://www.abdn.ac.uk/>)



ACICA (<http://https://acica.org.au/>)  
Members



ACICA 45 (<http://acica.org.au/acica-45/>)  
Members



AfricArb (<http://https://www.linkedin.com/company/afric-arb/>)  
Members



American Society of International Law (ASIL) (<http://www.asil.org/>)  
Dispute Resolution Group



ArbitralWomen (<http://www.arbitralwomen.org/>)  
Members



Arbitrator Intelligence (<http://arbitratorintelligence.org/>)  
Affiliates



ArbTech (<http://https://www.arbtech.io/>)  
Members



Asian International Arbitration Centre (AIAC) (<http://https://www.aiac.world/>)  
Affiliates



Asociación Latinoamericana de Arbitraje (ALARB) (<http://https://www.alarb.org/>)  
Members



Bryan Cave Leighton Paisner LLP (<http://www.blplaw.com/home>)  
Attorneys



Chartered Institute of Arbitrators (CIArb) (<http://www.ciarb.org/>)  
Members



CIETAC Hong Kong Arbitration Center (<http://www.cietachk.org>)

Affiliates

Clyde & Co. (<http://www.clydeco.com/>)

Attorneys

Delos (<http://https://delosdr.org/>)

Affiliates

Finland Arbitration Institute (FAI) (<http://arbitration.fi/>)

Affiliates

Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer (<http://www.freshfields.com/>)

Attorneys

Herbert Smith Freehills (<http://www.herbertsmithfreehills.com/>)

Attorneys

HK45 (<http://www.hkiac.org/en/hkiac/hk45>)

Members

Hogan Lovells (<http://www.hoganlovells.com/>)

Attorneys

Institute for Transnational Arbitration (ITA) (<http://www.cailaw.org/ita>)

Members

International Centre for Dispute Resolution (ICDR) (<http://https://www.icdr.org/>)

Members

KCAB International (<http://www.kcabinternational.or.kr/main.do>)

Members

Shanghai International Arbitration Center (SHIAC) ([http://www.shiac.org/SHIAC/index\\_E.aspx](http://www.shiac.org/SHIAC/index_E.aspx))

Members

Vienna International Arbitral Centre (VIAC) (<http://https://www.viac.eu/en/>)

Members

YIAG ([http://www.lcia.org/Membership/YIAG/Young\\_International\\_Arbitration\\_Group.aspx](http://www.lcia.org/Membership/YIAG/Young_International_Arbitration_Group.aspx))

Members

Young ICCA (<http://www.youngicca.org/>)

Members

Young ITA (<http://www.cailaw.org/Institute-for-Transnational-Arbitration/Young-ITA/index.html>)

Members

YSIAC (<http://www.siac.org.sg/ysiac/about-us>)

Members



(<https://afaa.ngo/>)



(<https://arbitrator.org/gID=926>)



(<https://arbitrator.org/gID=1106>)



Clyde&Co  
(<https://arbitrator.org/gID=514>)



DELLOS  
(<https://arbitrator.org/gID=1687>)



(<https://arbitrator.org/gID=774>)



(<https://arbitrator.org/gID=525>)



(<https://arbitrator.org/gID=519>)



(<https://arbitrator.org/gID=572>)



Hogan  
Lovells  
(<https://arbitrator.org/gID=518>)



(<https://arbitrator.org/gID=511>)



(<https://arbitrator.org/gID=1354>)



KCAB  
INTERNATIONAL  
(<https://arbitrator.org/gID=2087>)



(<https://arbitrator.org/gID=1172>)



VIAC  
(<https://arbitrator.org/gID=2129>)



YIAG  
(<https://arbitrator.org/gID=512>)



(<https://arbitrator.org/gID=791>)



YOUNG ITA  
(<https://arbitrator.org/gID=1018>)



YSIAC  
(<https://arbitrator.org/gID=515>)



(<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=soafLup0rOA>)



(<https://www.wolterskluwer.com/en/solutions/kluwerarbitration>)

## RELATED SITES

Kluwer Arbitration (<http://www.kluwerarbitration.com/>)

Kluwer Mediation Blog (<https://kluwermediationblog.com/>)

Author Portal (<https://www.wolterskluwer.com/en/solutions/kluwerlawinternational/authors>)

## RSS FEEDS

**Summary Feed** (<https://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com/feed>)

**Article Feed** (<https://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com/feed>)

## RECENT PUBLICATIONS

2023 Year in Review: Human Rights and ISDS – Same Play, Different Actors (<https://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com/2024/02/15/2023-year-in-review-human-rights-and-isds-same-play-different-actors/>) February 15, 2024

Countdown to RIDW24: Global Dispute Trends in the Age of Data and Climate Change (<https://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com/2024/02/15/countdown-to-ridw24-global-dispute-trends-in-the-age-of-data-and-climate-change/>) February 15, 2024

Countdown to RIDW24: Legislative Changes Mark New Era In Debt Recovery In Saudi Arabia (<https://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com/2024/02/15/countdown-to-ridw24-legislative-changes-mark-new-era-in-debt-recovery-in-saudi-arabia/>) February 15, 2024

Azerbaijan Adopts a New Law on Arbitration (<https://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com/2024/02/14/azerbaijan-adopts-a-new-law-on-arbitration/>) February 14, 2024

2023 Washington Arbitration Week Recap: ECT Modernization or Collapse? (<https://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com/2024/02/14/2023-washington-arbitration-week-recap-ect-modernization-or-collapse/>) February 14, 2024

## RECENT COMMENTS

**A. Counsel** on Stamp of Approval: The Indian Supreme Court Says Yes to Arbitration (<https://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com/2024/02/06/stamp-of-approval-the-indian-supreme-court-says-yes-to-arbitration/#comment-396982>)

**Dr. Herfried Wöss** (<http://woessetpartners.com>) on 2023 in Review: Climate Change and ISDS – Reshaping Investment Arbitration to Achieve Climate Goals (<https://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com/2024/01/31/2023-in-review-climate-change-and-isds-reshaping-investment-arbitration-to-achieve-climate-goals/#comment-396008>)

**Alexander Bedrosyan** on 2023 in Review: Climate Change and ISDS – Reshaping Investment Arbitration to Achieve Climate Goals (<https://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com/2024/01/31/2023-in-review-climate-change-and-isds-reshaping-investment-arbitration-to-achieve-climate-goals/#comment-395509>)

**Dr. Kishor Shankar Dere** on Ascendancy of Institutional Arbitration in the Gulf: Abu Dhabi Adds New International Arbitration Centre (<https://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com/2024/01/24/ascendancy-of-institutional-arbitration-in-the-gulf-abu-dhabi-adds-new-international-arbitration-centre/#comment-393841>)

**Dr. Kishor Shankar Dere** on Washington Arbitration Week Recap: the Ukraine Crisis and Post-War Scenarios (<https://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com/2024/01/22/washington-arbitration-week-recap-the-ukraine-crisis-and-post-war-scenarios/#comment-393183>)

## TAKE THE AI QUESTIONNAIRE



(<http://arbitratorintelligence.org/>)



## ABOUT US

About Kluwer Arbitration Blog (<https://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com/about/>)

Kluwer Arbitration (<http://www.kluwerarbitration.com/>)

Kluwer Law International (<http://www.kluwerlaw.com>)

E-store (<http://www.kluwerlaw.com/catalogue/>)

Authors (<https://www.wolterskluwer.com/en/solutions/kluwerlawinternational/authors>)

## CONTACT

General Information

## LEGAL POLICY

User Agreement and Disclaimer (<https://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com/user-agreement-and-disclaimer/>)

Editorial Policy & Guidelines (<https://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com/editorial-policy-guidelines/>)

Privacy Policy and Use of Cookies (<https://www.wolterskluwer.com/en/solutions/kluwerlawinternational/privacy-policy>)

(<https://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com/contact/>)

